G. R. S. 58]

p 22

Previous references.

File No. 142-03

Serial Nos. 1-4

1903

(Municipal)

Later references.

Rec'd 11-15 B 11846. B Septr 1916
—do— B 6648, 50-52 B. March 1916
—do— B 5346/B. May 1916
Rec'd 11-16 SS 5385/B June'17

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 ——— 4

Major Part B for March 1904.

SUBJECT.

Grant-in-aid to the Vydya Sala at Devanhalli

Sl 1 From, the Municipal President Bangalore Dist
no ~~L 102~~ 482. 26-11-03
Recommends The Grant of Rs 10 to one
Nanda Giri Deekshit a native Physician, to help him in
his charitable work of treating the poor & the Sick Gratis
as proposed by the Municipal Board of Devanhalli

Sl 2 To, The President Munsl Board, Bangalore Dist
no. 2315-L.257-02 D. 16-12-03. Requests to propose re-
appropriation in the Sanctioned Grants in the current
year for consideration & orders of Govt for the

above grant

Rd 3:2no 676 From, The President, Munl Bd Bangalore.
6-2-04

States that a sum of Rs 50 for the 5th months of the year 1903-04 may be met from Public works and that Rs 5 may be given to Nandagiri Deekshit & Rs 5 to another ratio Pandit Govindappa

Rd 4 To the President, Municipal Board
Bangalore Secy no 35-85-6 21-3-04
25-7-02

Sanctions a municipal grant of Rs 5 per mensem in favour of Nandagiri Deekshit and states that Govt are not in favour of granting Rs 5/- to one Govindappa referred to above, as Devanhalli is too small a place to have two Vaidyas Sales.

Sincerely
H Sampathkumar.

Commissioner

SECTION Office Note

FILE No. Boards Branch

SERIAL No.

Subject :- Bangalore District Municipal Presi..
letter No 576 dated 6th February 1904 and ,
Petition No 1693 / L. 1639 dated 25th January 1904
from the inhabitants of the town of Devanhalli,
and No 1830/ L. 1809 dated 12th February 1904 from
Mandageri Deekshit

In re grants -in-aid to the Vydya Sala at
Devanhalli.

Mandageri Deekshit of Devanhalli established a native Vydya Sala and has been treating patients suffering from various diseases by administering freely medicines prepared at his cost for the past 15 years; and the building in which the dispensary or Vydyasala was located for free destribution of medicines was treated as a charitable institution and exempted from House tax during Plava (1900-01) with the observation that the exemption would cease ~~to be used for the charitable~~ when it should be used for private purposes (Endorsement No 206 dated 30th June 1902)

Flag A

2. On 21st September 1903, the residents of Devanhalli submitted a numerously signed Petition No 59 dated 21st September 1903 to the taluk Amildar stating that the Physician referred to was treating patients suffering from various diseases at his private expense and praying that some encouragement might be shown to him by a grant from Municipal or District Funds to enable the Vydya to improve the institution . On 12th October 1903 the Physician himself presented a petition enclosing copies of certificates granted to him by the Amildars Mr. Ranga Rao and Sadasiva Pillay , stating that orthpdox community preferred

flag. D

Govindappa; and that he agrees with the Board as this arrangement will promote harmony and friendship between the two Physicians which is necessarily required in a new enterprise But the wording of the resolution of the Municipal Board dated 28th December 1903 submitted by the President runs thus :-

2129.(e)

" That M. Govindappa established a Vydyasala at his own expense and has been administering medicines prepared by himself at private expense and treating patients including those suffering from Plague with zeal both in the town and the outlying villages that Government aid would be very useful to the towns people as represented by them in their Petition dated 21st September 1903 addressed to the Deputy Commissioner through the Amildar; that, ~~in accordance with the opinion expressed by the Sanitary Commissioner during his inspection of the town~~, a grant of Rs 5 may be paid to him as in the case of Nandageri Deekshit who had already been recommended for a grant of Rs 5 per mensem "

lo Rs and not 5 Rs, recommended

2 cap (E)

5. With the records received with the above letter there is a petition dated 15th January 1904 addressed to the Deputy Commissioner by the inhabitants of Devanhalli, stating that Govindappa only sells medicines which have been tested by Nandageri Deekshit or procured from shops and that there is no justice in the Municipal Boards voting for him a monthly allowance of Rs 5 and praying that the Boards resolution may be cancelled and the original resolution confirmed.

6. Ramachendra Bhatta and several other residents of Devanhalli addressed a Petition to the Dewan No 1693 dated 25th January 1904 praying for the grant of Rs lo to Nandageri Deekshit and protesting against the payment of a portion thereof to Govindappa

No 1693 dt 25-1-04
L. 1639

7. Nandageri Deekshit also presented a petition to the Secretariat dated 12th February 1904 saying that Govindappa never uses medicines till they are

1830 dt 12-2-04.
L. 1809

examined

examined by the former, in proof of which he encloses two printed notices issued by Govindappa in 1902 and September 1903 in which it is said that the medicines were examined by the famous Doctor Mandageri Deekshit of Devanhalli , and complaining that the deduction of Rs 5 out of Rs originality voted for him for payment to his disciple would be not only be derogatory to him but would be quite insufficient and praying for the grant of Rs 10 to him as prayed for by the towns-people

8. (a) The office begs to submit that it is evident from paras 3 and 7 that when the Board recorded their resolution of 28th December 1903, they were under a misapprehension, that only Rs 5 and not Rs 10 had been previously recommended for Mandageri Deekshit, and that they sanctioned Rs 5 to Govindappa in addition to that granted to Mandageri Deekshit but not the equal distribution of the amount (10 Rs) between the two in modification of the previous resolution. The present recommendation of the President to distribute Rs 10 equally between the two is not in accordance with the Board's resolution and cannot be approved.

(b) In none of the marginally noted minor Municipalities, where grants were sanctioned for Native Vidyas or Hakims, more than one Physician was encouraged

~~Submitted for orders as to whether the discrepancy noted above may be pointed out and Board's final resolution called for in the matter in the light of the above remarks and on consideration of the Petition since received which will be forwarded to the President~~

Dy 27/2 Submitted for orders as to whether the original resolution of the Board making a grant of Rs 10/- to the Ayurvedic Dr Mandageri

opened by Nandigini Deekshit about
15 years back may be sanctioned
leaving the other question for a
further grant to Govindudeekshit who
is only dispensing the medicines
prepared by the former when the
Municipal finances permit or
when the treatment afforded by
Nandigini Deekshit is appreciated
by the people. Devanhalli is too
small a place for 2 Ayurvedic
Dispensaries in addition to the
Local Fund Dispensary

Subject forwarded to the Dewan
through the 2nd C.
HB
29/2/04
KK 29/2/04

A small place like
Devanhalli does not need
aided Vydeekàlai - The Rs 200
for other is too poor & may
more than Rs 5- for a medicine
Hydekàn - The 10 Rs first
proposed was to be liberal
an allowance for Devanhalli
There is a small grant under
Public Works Revenue of the
which of it is proposed to

The office seems to have made a
mistake - I have not found in
the file any Resolution by
the Board that Mandir Deekshit
should be given a grant of Rs 10
The first Resolution is dated
27th October 1903 and it
the Board said that some
grant (which was subsequently
increased) should be
recommended in favour of
the Deekshit The authorities
while making a recommendation
(Exception) are competent to exceed the
grant sanctioned by the Resolution
of a Local Public Body keeping in
mind that No 10 would be
a reasonable grant - The Assistant
Secretary should then be
directed to communicate
a grant of 10 Rs - whereas
the accounts assistant should
the Board while communicating
the sanction of a
recurring grant to a Brahmin
were recorded at the meeting
held on 28/12/03 viz (1)
that Mandir Deekshit
be given a grant of Rs 5-
& (2) that in the case
of Mandir Deekshit &
a case in that of Krishnabhatta
a grant of Rs 5 be given -
There was nothing wrong -
- evident in this - Grant cannot

(8)

direct the Board to pay the
headship Rs 10 when they
are willing to pay him Rs 5 only
when they recommended Rs 5-
in favour of each of the 2
Hyderabad in question. They had
no view the 10 Rs in respect of
which a reasonable relation
settlement had been called for
And now we must either
accept the Board's proposal with
(1) entirely by ignoring Decided that
Rs 5- & Rs 5 on (2)
mostly by ignoring the decided
alone Rs 5 & by refusing to give any decision
case for further consideration
& for a recommendation
in favour of any one of these
persons. as both cannot be
helped from the Board's funds
The preferable course in
my opinion No 3 - To the
substance of No 2 however I
have no objection -

9-3-04

subject to the Dewan

10/3/04

Mr Saunders - Dayne - 2 Mar
is hopelessly corrupt
Staff
15-3-1904

12.3.04

Dr D.
Rng 1613

SECTION — 1903 (Jan.) 109

FILE No. 142

SERIAL No. 1

From The Municipal President Bangalore

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore Revenue Dept.

Dated 26 January 1903

Despatched 30th

Received

General No.

No. 482

Enclosure No One.

I do not see any objection to the grant of Rs 10 as recommended by the Municipal Board of Devanahalli

Bangalore 31st December 1902

Recommends the grant of Rs 10 amount to Nandagiri Deekshit a native Physician to help him in his charitable work of treating the poor Sick gratis as proposed by the Municipal Board of Devanahalli

Bangalore 31st December 1902 The Requirements 1/12/02. for June 1903 Institute.

L. J.

Rs 11/12/02 Draft 10/12/03.

MGCPB—50 reams.—6-12 02.

E. 9

S No 482
2nd ans.

Bangalore District.
Municipal President's Office,
Camp Closepet
Dated 26th November 1903

From

H. H. Sparkes Esquire,
Municipal President,
Bangalore District.

To

H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire B.A. Bar at Law
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, General and Revenue
Department Bangalore

Sir,

I have the honor to state that Kandagiri Ducksheit is a native Physician of fifteen years standing in Devanhalli and he is reported to be very successful in his treatment of the sick persons and his services are very much appreciated by the people of the place. As he treats the poor gratis, the Municipal Board of Devanhalli are in favour of sanctioning a grant of Rs 10/- to help him in his charitable work and have accordingly

accordingly passed a resolution on the 27th ult. and the 7th November 1903 which is also submitted herewith

The finances of the Municipality as noted in the margin will permit the granting of the amount to the Physician Concerned

Under these circumstances, I beg to recommend that the Government will kindly sanction the grant proposed by the Municipal Board

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
Municipal President
Bangalore District

Opening balance of the Current official year (1903-04) Rs. 2967

Expected receipt during the year 1903-1904 3893

Total 6860

Deduct Estimated Expenditure for 1903-04 4584

Subscribed balance 1/4 of the Expected receipt. 973 5557

Unexpected balance in hand excluding expenditure at the end of Current official year 1303

Opening balance Rs 2967 as according to Comptroller's account for year 1903

(4)

Unit III

ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಸಂಕ್ಷಿಪ್ತವಾಗಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಮಾದರಿ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳು

1) ಅಕ್ಷರ ಮಾಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಅಕ್ಷರಗಳಿವೆ ಮತ್ತು ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಎಷ್ಟು ವಿಧವಾಗಿ ವಿಂಗಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಹೆಸರಿಸಿ.

ಉತ್ತರ: ಅಕ್ಷರ ಮಾಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಒಟ್ಟು 49 ಅಕ್ಷರಗಳಿವೆ. ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಮೂರು ವಿಧವಾಗಿ ವಿಂಗಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಅ) ಸ್ವರಗಳು ಆ) ವ್ಯಂಜನಗಳು ಇ) ಉಭಯಾಕ್ಷರಗಳು

2) ಸ್ವರಗಳನ್ನು ಎಷ್ಟು ವಿಧವಾಗಿ ವಿಂಗಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಹೆಸರಿಸಿ.

ಉತ್ತರ: ಸ್ವರಗಳನ್ನು ಎರಡು ವಿಧವಾಗಿ ವಿಂಗಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಅ) ಹ್ರಸ್ವ ಸ್ವರಗಳು ಆ) ದೀರ್ಘ ಸ್ವರಗಳು

3) ವ್ಯಂಜನಗಳನ್ನು ಎಷ್ಟು ವಿಧವಾಗಿ ವಿಂಗಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಹೆಸರಿಸಿ.

ಉತ್ತರ: ವ್ಯಂಜನಗಳನ್ನು ಮೂರು ವಿಧವಾಗಿ ವಿಂಗಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಅ) ಮೃದು ವ್ಯಂಜನಗಳು ಆ) ಕಠಿಣ ವ್ಯಂಜನಗಳು ಇ) ಅನುನಾಸಿಕಗಳು

4) ಉಭಯಾಕ್ಷರಗಳು ಎಂದರೇನು?

ಉತ್ತರ: ಸ್ವರ ಮತ್ತು ವ್ಯಂಜನಗಳು ಸೇರಿ ಉಭಯಾಕ್ಷರಗಳು ಉಂಟಾಗುತ್ತವೆ.

5) ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ಅಕ್ಷರಗಳು ಸೇರಿ ಉಭಯಾಕ್ಷರಗಳು ಉಂಟಾಗಿವೆ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಕಾಲು, ಕೈ, ಮೂಗು, ಕಣ್ಣು, ಬಾಯಿ, ಹಲ್ಲು, ಕಿವಿ, ಹೊಟ್ಟೆ, ಬೆನ್ನು, ಕೂದಲು

ಉತ್ತರ:

ಕಾಲು = ಕ + ಆ + ಲ + ಉ

ಕೈ = ಕ + ಐ

ಮೂಗು = ಮ + ಊ + ಗ + ಉ

ಕಣ್ಣು = ಕ + ಅ + ಣ + ಣ + ಉ

ಬಾಯಿ = ಬ + ಆ + ಯ + ಇ

ಹಲ್ಲು = ಹ + ಅ + ಲ + ಲ + ಉ

ಕಿವಿ = ಕ + ಇ + ವ + ಇ

ಹೊಟ್ಟೆ = ಹ + ಒ + ಟ + ಟ + ಎ

ಬೆನ್ನು = ಬ + ಎ + ನ + ನ + ಉ

ಕೂದಲು = ಕ + ಊ + ದ + ಅ + ಲ + ಉ

6) ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪದಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಅಕ್ಷರಗಳಿವೆ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಅಕ್ಕ, ಅಣ್ಣ, ಅಪ್ಪ, ಅಮ್ಮ, ಅಜ್ಜಿ, ಅಜ್ಜ, ಅತ್ತೆ, ಮಾವ, ಚಿಕ್ಕಪ್ಪ, ಚಿಕ್ಕಮ್ಮ

ಉತ್ತರ:

ಅಕ್ಕ = 3 ಅಕ್ಷರಗಳು

ಅಣ್ಣ = 3 ಅಕ್ಷರಗಳು

ಅಪ್ಪ = 3 ಅಕ್ಷರಗಳು

ಅಮ್ಮ = 3 ಅಕ್ಷರಗಳು

ಅಜ್ಜಿ = 3 ಅಕ್ಷರಗಳು

ಅಜ್ಜ = 3 ಅಕ್ಷರಗಳು

ಅತ್ತೆ = 3 ಅಕ್ಷರಗಳು

ಮಾವ = 3 ಅಕ್ಷರಗಳು

ಚಿಕ್ಕಪ್ಪ = 5 ಅಕ್ಷರಗಳು

ಚಿಕ್ಕಮ್ಮ = 5 ಅಕ್ಷರಗಳು

---

1) ಅಕ್ಷರ ಮಾಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಅಕ್ಷರಗಳಿವೆ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಉತ್ತರ: 49 ಅಕ್ಷರಗಳಿವೆ.

52-2-1

27

I X X

II X X

III X X X

IV X X

V X X

VI ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ. ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ 14 ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ. ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದಕ್ಕೂ 63 ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ನೀಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

1910

SECTION FROM

FILE No.

SERIAL No.

SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE, GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

To

The President of the
Talks, Bangalore
District

Dated 16/12/03

Despatched

Received

General No. 2315

P.J No. 57—12

Enclosure No.

His letter no 482 of 26th November 1903, recommending a grant of Rs 10 per mensem to one Nandagiri Deekshit a native physician at Devanhalli, to help him in his charitable work of treating the poor of the place gratis

With reference to the above

From the Same
No 675 of 1903

has the honour to observe that the provision to meet the charge on account of grant-in-aid to the Vaidyasala from the balance in favour of the Amby cannot be sanctioned; and to request that the President will be so good as to propose re-appropriate in the sanctioned grants for the current year, ~~to submit a supplemental budget from which the charge can be met~~ for the consideration & orders of Govt.

By order

13/11/03

for Secretary

Engineer



699

1904

Asstt Legal Assistant
Bangalore

To The Secy to the Govt
of Mysore
Rev and Genl Depth

Dated 6/2/04
Despatched 8/2/04
Received

General No.
S. No. 676
Enclosure No. 2 file. qr: pp

In the matter of

Secys No 2315 of 1903
2757-02

Secy

States that the sum of Rs 50/
for the 5 months of this year may be
met from "Public Works (O) Reserve"
and state that Rs 5/ may be
given to Arundapur Deekshatar + 5 Rs
to another native Physician Arundapur

L-7

8/2/04
AS 10/2/04
Viewed 17/2/04

Sec 9
2/2/04

Sr 676 (1)
Inward No. 46.

Office of the Superintendent
of Municipalities in the
Bangalore District
Bangalore 6th January

From

H. H. Sharkey Esq
Municipal Superintendent
Bangalore Dt

To

H. V. Ramjundayya Esq Assistant
Secy to the Govt of Mysore
Revenue Genl Depts

Sir

With reference to your
docket No 2315/17570-2 dated 16th December
requesting to propose a re-appropriation
in the sanctioned grants for the current year
I have the honor to state that the amount
in question viz Rs 50/- for the 5 months of this
year may be met from "Public Works (6) Minor
Est

out of the Municipal budget from the municipality

2. The Municipal Board of Devanahalli have passed a resolution to the effect that instead of giving Rs 10/- to Sri Andappa Deekshatar alone Rs 5/- may be given to him and the balance of Rs 5/- to another native physician Govindappa and I agree with the Board, as this arrangement will promote healthy competition between the two physicians which is necessarily required in a new enterprise

3 The Municipal resolution and other connected papers have been submitted for reference and return when done with

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient Servt

Narasinga Murthy

Vice President

Mysore Govt. Gen. & Rev. Secretariat

Local Boards Branch

File No. (if any, and its Serial No.)

Office Draft.

(19)

Progs. of the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read :—

Correspondence ending with letter no 676 dated the 6th February 1904, from the President of the Municipalities in the Bangalore District, requesting sanction to the resolution dated the 28th December 1903, of the Municipal Board of Devanhalli to pay Each of the native physicians Nandagire Deekshit and Govindappa Rs 5 per mensem to help them in their charitable

Seen
24-3-04

the charge for the current
year cannot be approved
the necessary provision
should be made for
the same in the budget
for the ensuing year

3. If the Board are
willing to pay the grant-in-aid
from 1st February 1904
as proposed in the President's
letter no 676 dated 6th Feb 1904,
a more suitable provision
in the current year's Budget
from which the charge
can be met, should
be specified and sanction
obtained thereto.

H. J. 1904

Offg. Secretary
15/2/04

To
The President of the Taluk Board Bangalore
Comptroller

with Vernacular Records